

**Laurent GRANIER**  
**Rue Antoine Gautier 110**  
**1040 Bruxelles**  
**BELGIUM**  
**Pro Se Plaintiff,**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN JOSE DIVISION**

**Laurent GRANIER,**  
**PLAINTIFF,**

**vs.**

**Jack LADD, Lyle WOLLERT, James  
PETTIT, Ryan YORK, Phil WOWAK, CJ.  
LUCAS, Cynthia VELASCO, Cynthia  
DRAGER, Bob JORGENSON,  
GAIL LOUIS, Chris BAGGALEY,  
« INTERINSURANCE EXCHANGE OF  
THE AUTOMOBILE CLUB », « AAA  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA », Robert T.  
BOUTTIER, John F. BOYLE, David LANG,  
Scott KWIERAN, James ROBBINS, Glenn  
RENWICK, Chuck JARRET,  
“PROGRESSIVE WEST INSURANCE”,  
Tom HAINES, Paul VINCENT,  
“CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL”,  
Salvador ORTIZ, Nancy FLORES, Dave  
JONES, « CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT  
OF INSURANCE », Jean M. SHIOMOTO,  
“DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES  
OF CALIFORNIA”, Edmund Gerald  
“Jerry” BROWN, « STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA », Perri Noelle  
MONTGOMERY, Steven J. DAWSON,  
Richard S. GOWER, Craig MODLIN,  
Rebecca CONNOLLY.**

**and DOES 1-50, inclusive**

**DEFENDANTS.**

**Case n° CV 14-05372 (Temporary EJD-HRL)**

**AMENDMENTS For :**

- DENUNCIATION OF FRAUDS, CHEATING AND  
CORRUPTIONS OF FEDERAL CLERKS AND JUDGES ;**
- ADDITION AND CHANGE OF NATURE OF SUIT AND  
CAUSE (« CRIMINAL AGGRAVATED CORRUPTION IN  
ORGANIZED GROUP » IN ADDITION OF THE  
ORIGINAL ONES -  
« CIVIL RIGHTS ») ;**
- ADDITION OF NEW DEFENDANTS and CAUSE  
OF ACTION ;**
- FORMAL DEMAND TO CHANGE OF JURISDICTION  
TO WASHINGTON D.C.**

**1 To all Defendants and/or their attorneys,**

**2 Plaintiff re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation previously contained in the original**  
**3 complaint filed the 08<sup>th</sup> of december 2014 at UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN**  
**4 DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION, and in his following filed amendments and**  
**5 documents.**

AMENDMENT FOR DENUNCIATION OF FRAUDS, CHEATING AND CORRUPTIONS OF FEDERAL CLERKS AND JUDGES; ADDITION AND CHANGE OF NATURE OF SUIT AND CAUSE («CRIMINAL AGGRAVATED CORRUPTION IN ORGANIZED GROUP» IN ADDITION OF THE ORIGINAL ONES «CIVIL RIGHTS»); ADDITION OF NEW DEFENDANTS and CAUSE OF ACTION ; FORMAL DEMAND TO CHANGE OF JURISDICTION TO WASHINGTON D.C.

1       **1. DENUNCIATION OF FRAUDS, CHEATING AND CORRUPTION OF FEDERAL CLERKS**  
2       **AND JUDGES.**

3 Following the discovery by Plaintiff, since the beginning of the filing of this case, of several criminal acts,  
4 committed by the clerks Richard W. WIEKING and Patty CROMWELL, under corruption, by given favors  
5 done against Plaintiff for the exclusive benefits of all Defendants, as deliberate lacks of respect of federal  
6 legal procedure and cheating for the nomination of the « sub »judge Edward J. DAVILA, under the authority  
7 of the federal judge Howard R. LLOYD, all of them in the present court, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
8 COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION, Plaintiff denounces by the  
9 present amendment clerks and judges involved in the present case since the beginning, being part and  
10 essential cogs of a corruption network created by every lawyer and official person of the State of California  
11 listed as defendants, and representing the other defendants.

12       **Statements and Facts.**

13 First, clerks Richard W. WIEKING and Patty CROMWELL cheated about the naming of Edward J. DAVILA  
14 as the « sub » judge for this case.

15 Second, clerks Richard W. WIEKING and Patty CROMWELL did not respect the legal procedure, as the  
16 way and delay to warn and inform Plaintiff about this « naming » of this « sub » judge.

17 Third, no one of the two judges, Edward J. DAVILA under the authority of the federal judge Howard R.  
18 LLOYD, did sue any lawyer for their perjuries, their lies and their fake allegations, despite numerous  
19 Plaintiff's denunciations proved by arguments and document proofs.

20 Fourth, no one of these two judges, Edward J. DAVILA under the authority of the federal judge Howard R.  
21 LLOYD, did punish those lawyers, and worse, by accepting their perjuries, their lies and their fake  
22 allegations as true, right and good, causing more damages to Plaintiff.

23 Fifth, no one of these two judges, Edward J. DAVILA under the authority of the federal judge Howard R.  
24 LLOYD, did respect Plaintiff, about his complaint, and too, the more and more criminal acts and damages  
25 suffered by him, causing to him more and more damages by protecting all defendants as one.

26 Sixth, no one of these two judges, Edward J. DAVILA under the authority of the federal judge Howard R.  
27 LLOYD, did respect the contract done between Plaintiff and the Federal U.S. Authority under the UNITED

AMENDMENT FOR DENUNCIATION OF FRAUDS, CHEATING AND CORRUPTIONS OF FEDERAL CLERKS AND JUDGES; ADDITION  
AND CHANGE OF NATURE OF SUIT AND CAUSE («CRIMINAL AGGRAVATED CORRUPTION IN ORGANIZED GROUP» IN ADDITION  
OF THE ORIGINAL ONES «CIVIL RIGHTS»); ADDITION OF NEW DEFENDANTS and CAUSE OF ACTION ; FORMAL DEMAND TO  
CHANGE OF JURISDICTION TO WASHINGTON D.C.

1 STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION ; a  
2 contract agreed by both parties and sealed by a payment done by Plaintiff (filing fees).  
3 Seventh, Richard W. WIEKING, Patty CROMWELL, Edward J. DAVILA and Howard R. LLOYD failed to  
4 their duty, despite the payment (filing fees) done by Plaintiff to access and get justice.

5

6 **2. ADDITION AND CHANGE OF NATURE OF SUIT AND CAUSE (« CRIMINAL**  
7 **AGGRAVATED CORRUPTION IN ORGANIZED GROUP » IN ADDITION OF THE**  
8 **ORIGINAL ONES - « CIVIL RIGHTS »).**

9 A new step has been done by persons of the Federal Court who committed criminal acts against Plaintiff in  
10 this case, and so, the filed complaint registered under the « Civil Rights Nature and Cause », is not enough,  
11 and needs to be increased by a criminal one, the act of corruption.

12 **1. Federal Clerks Richard W. WIEKING and Patty CROMWELL :** They cheated and did not  
13 respect the legal procedure to inform Plaintiff. They did not apply the right time too, about the delay  
14 of answers. They cheated to name strangely a « sub » judge. Everything they did was against the  
15 Law, the rules of Federal procedure, and above all and especially Plaintiff's interest and for the  
16 exclusive benefits of all Defendants, increasing and even creating more and more new damages and  
17 pains to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's complaint was about Civil Rights and those clerks did commit the same  
18 criminal acts about Plaintiff's Civil Rights, in order to deprive Plaintiff of his Civil Rights, the right  
19 to get a fair trial, the right to have a payment for the damaged he suffered and he is suffering, more  
20 and more.

21 **2. « Sub » Federal Judge Edward J. DAVILA :** He gave favors to all Defendants, since the  
22 beginning, creating new damages, increasing Plaintiff's damages and pains. This « sub » judge has  
23 never been fair, deliberately. He « worked » and « works » only for the Defendants, for Defendants'  
24 lawyers which are too defendants now, in order to protect all of them, as « V.I.P. » Governor Jerry  
25 BROWN, and people working in California State Agencies. This « sub » judge deprived and  
26 deprives Plaintiff of his Civil Rights, the right to get a fair trial, the right to have a payment for the  
27 damaged he suffered and he is suffering, more and more.

AMENDMENT FOR DENUNCIATION OF FRAUDS, CHEATING AND CORRUPTIONS OF FEDERAL CLERKS AND JUDGES; ADDITION  
AND CHANGE OF NATURE OF SUIT AND CAUSE («CRIMINAL AGGRAVATED CORRUPTION IN ORGANIZED GROUP» IN ADDITION  
OF THE ORIGINAL ONES «CIVIL RIGHTS»); ADDITION OF NEW DEFENDANTS and CAUSE OF ACTION ; FORMAL DEMAND TO  
CHANGE OF JURISDICTION TO WASHINGTON D.C.

1 **3. Main Federal Judge Howard R. LLOYD :** He did nothing against the frauds, the lacks of  
2 respect, the cheating of the above mentioned clerks, nothing to avoid the cheated nomination of the  
3 corrupted « sub » « Federal » Judge Edward J. DAVILA, instead of himself. He is responsible, guilty  
4 of passive corruption.

### 6 **3. ADDITION OF NEW DEFENDANTS and CAUSE OF ACTION.**

7 It is needed to add in this case, as Defendants, Federal Clerks Richard W. WIEKING and Patty  
8 CROMWELL, « Sub » Federal Judge Edward J. DAVILA, Main Federal Judge Howard R. LLOYD, and so,  
9 even to add a new cause of action.

### 10 **ADDITION OF CLAIM.**

#### 11 **CLAIM 17**

12 Criminal aggravated corruption network committed by official federal persons under an organized group, in  
13 order to hide prior criminal acts and to protect from criminal law infringements numerous persons in a filed  
14 federal complaint, and in addition of the original criminal acts, motive of numerous violations of Civil Rights  
15 and acts contrary to the laws and « The Constitution » (and its following « Acts ») of United States of  
16 America.

### 17 **4. DEMAND TO CHANGE OF JURISDICTION TO WASHINGTON D.C.**

18 As a new step has been done by official persons of the Federal Court who committed criminal acts against  
19 Plaintiff in this case, and so, the filed complaint registered under the « Civil Rights Nature and Cause » being  
20 now under a criminal one, the act of corruption, in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT- NORTHERN  
21 DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA- SAN JOSE DIVISION,

22 As a Formal Notice has been sent to Barack OBAMA about this corruption and this failure of respect of a  
23 contract signed and paid by Plaintiff (exhibit 1B)

24 As nothing has been done against Defendants (including their lawyers, defendants too), no one penalty has  
25 been given about the several official declarations containing deliberate fake information, omissions and lies,  
26 blackmails, and even fake testimonies under perjury, committed by every defendants, themselves or by their

AMENDMENT FOR DENUNCIATION OF FRAUDS, CHEATING AND CORRUPTIONS OF FEDERAL CLERKS AND JUDGES; ADDITION  
AND CHANGE OF NATURE OF SUIT AND CAUSE («CRIMINAL AGGRAVATED CORRUPTION IN ORGANIZED GROUP» IN ADDITION  
OF THE ORIGINAL ONES «CIVIL RIGHTS»); ADDITION OF NEW DEFENDANTS and CAUSE OF ACTION ; FORMAL DEMAND TO  
CHANGE OF JURISDICTION TO WASHINGTON D.C.

1 lawyer, making more and more damages on Plaintiff's life, and aggravating the present case, in the UNITED  
2 STATES DISTRICT COURT- NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA- SAN JOSE DIVISION,  
3 Plaintiff declares by the present amendment, as a Formal Demand, and by the necessity to guarantee integrity  
4 in this case, to move this case to WASHINGTON, D.C., because lacks of integrity, and because the case is  
5 not only a state case, it is an insterstate case, as yet, the insurance companies involved are not only based in  
6 California, being branches of a main company located outside California, because the main company  
7 « COPART » ( See Defendant Salvado ORTIZ, responsible of the California branch located at San Martin,  
8 who is accomplice of the stolen Plaintiff's car, and even - Steven BENDER, the Regional Manager who  
9 could be added as Defendant) is in another state, in TEXAS (Dallas), where its responsables, Willis J.  
10 JOHNSON (Chairman), A. Jayson ADAIR (CEO),and Vincent W. MITZ (President) are living and working,  
11 and about which they could be added as Defendants too, for complicity.

12

13 The 22<sup>nd</sup> of september 2015.

14

15 Laurent GRANIER, Pro se Plaintiff.